

DEVELOPMENT WITHIN THE PARISH OF SHEARSBY

Interim Report following Community Engagement

7th February 2015

65 residents attended and 27 postal
questionnaires were returned

TYPES OF HOUSING

63 people supported terrace/mews with 4 against

57 people supported conversions of existing buildings with 8 against

49 people supported smaller homes with 8 against

47 people supported bungalows with 16 against

33 people supported housing association with 14 people against

16 people supported large houses with 21 against

23 people supported flats with 12 against

Assisted living was highlighted by 10 people and the need for homes for young families was also highlighted by 21 people

Builds 'in keeping with the village' was a common theme.

LOCATION OF NEW BUILDINGS

51 people preferred building within the conservation area 6 against

25 people approved areas abutting the conservation area 18 against

19 people approved areas on approach roads to the village 14 against

25 people approved building beyond curtilage but within Parish 15 against

The village was already well supplied with housing was a comment, some asked for more information about where and how many houses before they could comment in detail.

SIZE OF DEVELOPMENTS

57 people approved of small scale developments (5 buildings) 12 against

56 disapproved of large housing 14 against

40 people approved of individual plots 6 against

30 people approved of self builds 6 against

Many people questioned location and would like more information about this.

METHODS OF CONSTRUCTION

44 people approved of traditional builds with materials listed 2 against

42 approved environmentally friendly builds 2 against

Other build types did not prove of interest - high insulation attracted 42 people

46 people approved of 2 off road parking spots per property 2 against

19 approved of hedging around new properties 4 against

30 approved rainwater harvesting

Some suggested more than 2 parking spaces should be available.

APPEARANCE AND AMENITIES

72 approved protection of wildlife habitats

53 approved protection from toxic agricultural spray

34 approved of prohibiting conversion of bungalows (in particular locations) 6 against

67 approved prohibiting change of use of village pub, 6 against

40 approved purchase of land for recreational use, 10 against

28 approved permitting external insulation, 6 against

Position of the village in relation to the surrounding countryside was of great importance to many. Cases being treated on individual merit was of interest to some.

There is a concern within the parish as to the fitness of drainage and other services to cope with more housing.